

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED 9/18
08 APR -4 AM 9:38
This space for
Clerk's Office File Stamp

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Judge William O Hayes
FROM: J. Hinkle, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 4/02/08
CASE NO.: 07cv2373-WQH(CAB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Chad McKinney; Plaintiff Pro Se
CASE TITLE: McKinney v Apollo Group et al
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion in Opposition to Dft's Doc / P's and A's

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X	<u>OTHER: Points and Authorities require table of contents if over 10 pages long.</u> <u>Unclear as to whether this is a reply to Dft's Opposition or a new motion. If new</u> <u>motion, it is untimely, if it is a reply, the time is sufficient.</u>

Date forwarded: 4/02/08

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☒ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received. *→ file as "Reply"*
☐ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge Hayes

Dated: 4-3-08
cc: All Parties

By: JK